



## Algorithm AS 217: Computation of the Dip Statistic to Test for Unimodality

P. M. Hartigan

*Applied Statistics*, Vol. 34, No. 3. (1985), pp. 320-325.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0035-9254%281985%2934%3A3%3C320%3AAA2COT%3E2.0.CO%3B2-V>

*Applied Statistics* is currently published by Royal Statistical Society.

---

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/rss.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

---

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

## Algorithm AS 217

## Computation of the Dip Statistic to Test for Unimodality

By P. M. Hartigan

VA Medical Center, USA

[Received August 1984. Revised May 1985]

*Keywords:* Unimodality; Isotonic regression; Dip statistic

## Language

Fortran 66

## Description and Purpose

The dip statistic is the maximum difference between the empirical distribution function, and the unimodal distribution function that minimizes that maximum difference. The dip measures departure of the sample from unimodality and is proposed by Hartigan and Hartigan (1985) for use in a test of unimodality. Asymptotically the dip for samples from a unimodal distribution approaches zero and that for samples from any multimodal distribution approaches a positive constant.

The null distribution for the dip test is the uniform, as a "worst case" unimodal distribution. Asymptotically  $\sqrt{n}(\text{dip})$  is positive for the uniform and zero for unimodal distributions whose densities decrease exponentially away from the mode. If the true unimodal distribution is not uniform, other ways of assessing the evidence for unimodality may be more powerful (Hartigan and Hartigan, 1985). Subroutine *DIPTST* calculates the dip statistic and the modal interval for the "best" fitting unimodal distribution for an ordered set of data.

## Numerical Method

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be the ordered observations. The only possible endpoints for the estimated modal interval  $(x_L, x_U)$  are pairs of these observations. Consider the  $n(n-1)/2$  possible modal intervals and compute for each  $(x_i, x_j)$  the greatest convex minorant (g.c.m.) of the empirical distribution function,  $F_n$ , in  $(-\infty, x_i)$  and the least concave majorant (l.c.m.) of  $F_n$  in  $(x_j, \infty)$ . Let  $d_{ij}$  be the maximum distance between  $F_n$  and these computed curves. Then twice the dip is the minimum value of  $d_{ij}$  over all modal intervals  $(x_i, x_j)$ , such that the line segment from  $[x_i, F^-(x_i) + 1/2 d_{ij}]$  to  $[x_j, F(x_j) - 1/2 d_{ij}]$  lies in the set

$$\{x, y \mid x_i \leq x \leq x_j, F(x) - 1/2 d_{ij} \leq y \leq F(x) + 1/2 d_{ij}\}.$$

This condition ensures that the minorant, the modal segment, and the majorant can be pieced together to form a unimodal distribution.

The minorant and majorant computations are done once and for all in order  $n$ , and an order  $n$  algorithm exists to determine the dip and the modal interval.

- (1) Begin with  $x_L = x_1, x_U = x_n, D = 0$ .
- (2) Compute the g.c.m.  $G$  and the l.c.m.  $H$  for  $F_n$  in  $[x_L, x_U]$ ; suppose the points of contact with  $F_n$  are respectively  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k$  and  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m$ .

*Present address:* V. A. Cooperative Studies Coordinating Center, Medical Center, West Spring Street, West Haven, CT 06516, USA.



|               |                            |   |
|---------------|----------------------------|---|
| <i>DIP</i>    | Real                       | output: the dip statistic   |
| <i>XL</i>     | Real                       | output: the lower end of the modal interval                               |
| <i>XU</i>     | Real                       | output: the upper end of the modal interval                               |
| <i>IFAULT</i> | Integer                    | output: error indicator   |
| <i>MN</i>     | Integer array ( <i>N</i> ) | workspace: contains the indices for the increasing (convex minorant) fit  |
| <i>MJ</i>     | Integer array ( <i>N</i> ) | workspace: contains the indices for the decreasing (concave majorant) fit |
| <i>GCM</i>    | Integer array ( <i>N</i> ) | workspace: change points for the g.c.m.                                   |
| <i>LCM</i>    | Integer array ( <i>N</i> ) | workspace: change points for the l.c.m.                                   |

*Fault indications*

*IFAULT* = 0 indicates successful execution of routine  
 = 1 indicates *N* = 0  
 = 2 indicates data are not sorted in ascending order.

**Restrictions**

The data input into the routine are assumed to be in ascending order and therefore to have no missing observations.

**Timing**

In Table 2 the run times for the subroutine are compared to a heap-sort sorting routine. Each time is an average of 30 runs. The dip computation appears to take approximately the same amount of time as the heap-sort routine.

TABLE 2  
*Run times on an IBM 4341, in seconds, for the dip computation  
 and a heap-sort routine*

| <i>N</i> | 1000  | 500   | 200   | 50    | 20    |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| DIP      | 0.173 | 0.085 | 0.037 | 0.009 | 0.004 |
| SORT     | 0.181 | 0.084 | 0.030 | 0.006 | 0.002 |

**Acknowledgement**

This work was supported in part by National Science Foundation Contract No. DCR 8401636.

**References**

Hartigan J. A. and Hartigan, P. M. (1985) The dip test of unimodality. *Ann. Statist.*, **13**, 70–84.

```

C      SUBROUTINE DIPTST(X, N, DIP, XL, XU, IFAULT, GCM, LCM, MN, MJ)
C
C      ALGORITHM AS 217 APPL. STATIST. (1985) VOL.34, NO.3
C
C      DOES THE DIP CALCULATION FOR AN ORDERED VECTOR X USING
C      THE GREATEST CONVEX MINORANT AND THE LEAST CONCAVE MAJORANT,
C      SKIPPING THROUGH THE DATA USING THE CHANGE POINTS OF THESE
C      DISTRIBUTIONS. IT RETURNS THE DIP STATISTIC 'DIP' AND THE MODAL
C      INTERVAL (XL, XU)
C
C      DIMENSION X(N)
C      DIMENSION MN(N), MJ(N), LCM(N)
C      INTEGER GCM(N), HIGH
C
C      CHECK THAT N IS POSITIVE
C
C

```

```

          IFAULT = 1
          IF (N .LE. 0) RETURN
          IFAULT = 0
C
C          CHECK IF N IS ONE
C
          IF (N .EQ. 1) GOTO 4
C
C          CHECK THAT X IS SORTED
C
          IFAULT = 2
          DO 3 K = 2, N
          IF (X(K) .LT. X(K - 1)) RETURN
3 CONTINUE
          IFAULT = 0
C
C          CHECK FOR ALL VALUES OF X IDENTICAL
C          AND FOR 1.LT.N.LT.4
C
          IF (X(N) .GT. X(1) .AND. N .GE. 4) GOTO 5
4 XL = X(1)
  XU = X(N)
  DIP = 0.0
  RETURN
C
C          LOW CONTAINS THE INDEX OF THE CURRENT ESTIMATE OF
C          THE LOWER END OF THE MODAL INTERVAL, HIGH CONTAINS
C          THE INDEX FOR THE CURRENT UPPER END
C
          5 FN = FLOAT(N)
          LOW = 1
          HIGH = N
          DIP = 1.0 / FN
          XL = X(LOW)
          XU = X(HIGH)
C
C          ESTABLISH THE INDICES OVER WHICH COMBINATION IS
C          NECESSARY FOR THE CONVEX MINORANT FIT
C
          MN(1) = 1
          DO 28 J = 2, N
          MN(J) = J - 1
25 MNJ = MN(J)
  MNMNJ = MN(MNJ)
  A = FLOAT(MNJ - MNMNJ)
  B = FLOAT(J - MNJ)
  IF (MNJ .EQ. 1 .OR. (X(J) - X(MNJ)) * A .LT. (X(MNJ) - X(MNMNJ)))
  * * B) GOTO 28
  MN(J) = MNMNJ
  GOTO 25
28 CONTINUE
C
C          ESTABLISH THE INDICES OVER WHICH COMBINATION IS
C          NECESSARY FOR THE CONCAVE MAJORANT FIT
C
          MJ(N) = N
          NA = N - 1
          DO 34 JK = 1, NA
          K = N - JK
          MJ(K) = K + 1
32 MJK = MJ(K)
  MJMJK = MJ(MJK)
  A = FLOAT(MJK - MJMJK)
  B = FLOAT(K - MJK)
  IF (MJK .EQ. N .OR. (X(K) - X(MJK)) * A .LT. (X(MJK) - X(MJMJK)))
  * * B) GOTO 34
  MJ(K) = MJMJK
  GOTO 32
34 CONTINUE
C
C          START THE CYCLING
C          COLLECT THE CHANGE POINTS FOR THE GCM FROM HIGH TO LOW
C

```

```

40 IC = 1
   GCM(1) = HIGH
42 IGCM1 = GCM(IC)
   IC = IC + 1
   GCM(IC) = MN(IGCM1)
   IF (GCM(IC) .GT. LOW) GOTO 42
   ICX = IC

C
C       COLLECT THE CHANGE POINTS FOR THE LCM FROM LOW TO HIGH
C
   IC = 1
   LCM(1) = LOW
44 LCM1 = LCM(IC)
   IC = IC + 1
   LCM(IC) = MJ(LCM1)
   IF (LCM(IC) .LT. HIGH) GOTO 44
   ICV = IC

C
C       ICX, IX, IG ARE COUNTERS FOR THE CONVEX MINORANT
C       ICV, IV, IH ARE COUNTERS FOR THE CONCAVE MAJORANT
C
   IG = ICX
   IH = ICV

C
C       FIND THE LARGEST DISTANCE GREATER THAN 'DIP'
C       BETWEEN THE GCM AND THE LCM FROM LOW TO HIGH
C
   IX = ICX - 1
   IV = 2
   D = 0.0
   IF (ICX .NE. 2 .OR. ICV .NE. 2) GOTO 50
   D = 1.0 / FN
   GOTO 65
50 IGCMX = GCM(IX)
   LCMIV = LCM(IV)
   IF (IGCMX .GT. LCMIV) GOTO 55

C
C       IF THE NEXT POINT OF EITHER THE GCM OR LCM IS
C       FROM THE LCM THEN CALCULATE DISTANCE HERE
C
   LCMIV1 = LCM(IV - 1)
   A = FLOAT(LCMIV - LCMIV1)
   B = FLOAT(IGCMX - LCMIV1 - 1)
   DX = (X(IGCMX) - X(LCMIV1) * A) / (FN * (X(LCMIV) - X(LCMIV1)))
*   - B / FN
   IX = IX - 1
   IF (DX .LT. D) GOTO 60
   D = DX
   IG = IX + 1
   IH = IV
   GOTO 60

C
C       IF THE NEXT POINT OF EITHER THE GCM OR LCM IS
C       FROM THE GCM THEN CALCULATE DISTANCE HERE
C
55 LCMIV = LCM(IV)
   IGCM = GCM(IX)
   IGCM1 = GCM(IX + 1)
   A = FLOAT(LCMIV - IGCM1 + 1)
   B = FLOAT(IGCM - IGCM1)
   DX = A / FN - ((X(LCMIV) - X(IGCM1)) * B) / (FN * (X(IGCM)
*   - X(IGCM1)))
   IV = IV + 1
   IF (DX .LT. D) GOTO 60
   D = DX
   IG = IX + 1
   IH = IV - 1
60 IF (IX .LT. 1) IX = 1
   IF (IV .GT. ICV) IV = ICV
   IF (GCM(IX) .NE. LCM(IV)) GOTO 50
65 IF (D .LT. DIP) GOTO 100

```

C            CALCULATE THE DIPS FOR THE CURRENT LOW AND HIGH  
 C  
 C            THE DIP FOR THE CONVEX MINORANT  
 C

```

DL = 0.0
IF (IG .EQ. ICX) GOTO 80
ICXA = ICX - 1
DO 76 J = IG, ICXA
TEMP = 1.0 / FN
JB = GCM(J + 1)
JE = GCM(J)
IF (JE - JB .LE. 1) GOTO 74
IF (X(JE) .EQ. X(JB)) GOTO 74
A = FLOAT(JE - JB)
CONST = A / (FN * (X(JE) - X(JB)))
DO 72 JR = JB, JE
B = FLOAT(JR - JB + 1)
T = B / FN - (X(JR) - X(JB)) * CONST
IF (T .GT. TEMP) TEMP = T
72 CONTINUE
74 IF (DL .LT. TEMP) DL = TEMP
76 CONTINUE
  
```

C            THE DIP FOR THE CONCAVE MAJORANT  
 C  
 C

```

80 DU = 0.0
IF (IH .EQ. ICV) GOTO 90
ICVA = ICV - 1
DO 88 K = IH, ICVA
TEMP = 1.0 / FN
KB = LCM(K)
KE = LCM(K + 1)
IF (KE - KB .LE. 1) GOTO 86
IF (X(KE) .EQ. X(KB)) GOTO 86
A = FLOAT(KE - KB)
CONST = A / (FN * (X(KE) - X(KB)))
DO 84 KR = KB, KE
B = FLOAT(KR - KB - 1)
T = (X(KR) - X(KB)) * CONST - B / FN
IF (T .GT. TEMP) TEMP = T
84 CONTINUE
86 IF (DU .LT. TEMP) DU = TEMP
88 CONTINUE
  
```

C            DETERMINE THE CURRENT MAXIMUM  
 C  
 C

```

90 DIPNEW = DL
IF (DU .GT. DL) DIPNEW = DU
IF (DIP .LT. DIPNEW) DIP = DIPNEW
LOW = GCM(IG)
HIGH = LCM(IH)
  
```

C            RECYCLE  
 C

GOTO 40

C  
 C  
 C            100 DIP = 0.5 \* DIP  
 XL = X(LOW)  
 XU = X(HIGH)

C            RETURN  
 END